JAMA Network Open

Original Investigation | Psychiatry

Association Between Mental Health and Reproductive System Disorders in Women

A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Nina Zaks, MS; Anita Batuure, BS; Emma Lin; Anna-Sophie Rommel, PhD; Abraham Reichenberg, PhD; Dorothy Grice, MD; Veerle Bergink, MD, PhD; Nathan S. Fox, MD; Behrang Mahjani, PhD; Magdalena Janecka, PhD

Abstract

IMPORTANCE Reproductive system and mental health disorders are commonly comorbid in women. Although the causes of this overlap remain elusive, evidence suggests potential shared environmental and genetic factors associated with risk.

OBJECTIVE To investigate the comorbidity between psychiatric and reproductive system disorders, both as broad diagnostic categories and among specific pairs of diagnoses.

DATA SOURCE PubMed.

STUDY SELECTION Observational studies published between January 1980 and December 2019 assessing prevalence of psychiatric disorders in women with reproductive system disorders and prevalence of reproductive system disorders in women with psychiatric disorders were included. The study did not include psychiatric and reproductive disorders triggered by life events (eg, trauma, infection, surgery) to address potential confounding.

DATA EXTRACTION AND SYNTHESIS A search yielded 1197 records, of which 50 met the inclusion criteria for the qualitative and 31 for the quantitative synthesis in our study. A random-effects model was used for data synthesis and Egger test and I^2 to assess study bias and heterogeneity. Data were analyzed from January to December 2022. This study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guideline.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Psychiatric and reproductive system disorders.

RESULTS A total of 1197 records were identified, of which 50 met the inclusion criteria for qualitative and 31 for quantitative synthesis. Diagnosis of a reproductive system disorder was associated with a 2- to 3-fold increased odds of having a psychiatric disorder (lower bound odds ratio [OR], 2.00; 95% CI, 1.41-2.83; upper bound OR; 2.88; 95% CI, 2.21-3.76). The analysis focused on specific diagnoses described in the literature and found that polycystic ovary syndrome was associated with increased odds of depression (population-based studies OR, 1.71; 95% CI, 1.19-2.45; clinical studies OR, 2.58; 95% CI, 1.57-4.23) and anxiety (population-based studies OR, 1.69; 95% CI, 1.36-2.10; clinical studies OR, 2.85; 95% CI, 1.98-4.09). Chronic pelvic pain was also associated with both depression (OR, 3.91; 95% CI, 1.81-8.46) and anxiety (OR, 2.33; 95% CI, 1.33-4.08). Few studies investigated risk of other reproductive system disorders in women with psychiatric disorders, or reverse associations (risk of reproductive system disorder among women with a psychiatric diagnosis).

(continued)

Key Points

Question Is there an association between reproductive system disorders and psychiatric disorders in women?

Findings This review of 50 studies and meta-analysis of 31 studies identified an approximately 2- to 3-fold increased odds of having a psychiatric disorder in women with reproductive system disorders.

Meaning Despite the high rate of comorbidity for psychiatric and reproductive system disorders found in this study, data are too limited to suggest a shared cause.

+ Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

Abstract (continued)

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this systematic review and meta-analysis, a high rate of reported co-occurrence between psychiatric and reproductive disorders overall was observed. However, data for many disorder pairs were limited. The available literature focused overwhelmingly on affective disorders in polycystic ovary syndrome, overlooking a substantial portion of disease overlap. As such, the associations between the majority of mental health outcomes and conditions of the female reproductive system are largely unknown.

JAMA Network Open. 2023;6(4):e238685. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.8685

Introduction

Reproductive system and mental health disorders represent common morbidities among women of reproductive age,[1-3] and the rate of co-occurrence of these disorders is high. Although the causes of this comorbidity remain largely unknown, possible explanations include external factors such as psychotropic medications interfering with reproductive function,[4] psychosocial factors such as reproductive system disorders affecting relationships, and overall quality of life[5] and stress impacting menstrual cycles and reproductive function.[6] Additionally, the disease overlap may occur due to a partially shared genetic cause.[7,8]

Compelling evidence in support of the interdependence between psychiatric and reproductive system functions comes from studies demonstrating (1) the sexually dimorphic character of many psychiatric and neurodevelopmental disorders, including differential symptoms,[9,10] age of onset,[9-11] and prevalence[12-14]; (2) fluctuation in severity of psychiatric morbidities during the menstrual cycle[15,16]; (3) perinatal and perimenopausal onset of several psychiatric disorders[17,18]; and (4) reduced fecundity in individuals with mental illness.[19]

To address the research gap on comorbidity between psychiatric and reproductive system disorders, our objectives were to (1) systematically review the literature on associations between psychiatric and reproductive system disorders in women of reproductive age; (2) perform a meta-analysis on risk of psychiatric morbidity associated with disorders of the reproductive system, and vice versa; and (3) perform meta-analyses as in objective 2 but stratified by specific psychiatric-reproductive system disorder pairs.

Methods

The protocol for this study was preregistered at PROSPERO. We followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) reporting guidelines[20] with reference to selection and synthesis of the available evidence.

Participants

Participants were women of reproductive age. Whenever information on puberty or menopause onset was missing, we defined that as women aged 13 to 55 years.[21,22]

Interventions and Outcomes

We included studies where either psychiatric or reproductive system diagnosis were used as case or control ascertainment criterion, and the other diagnostic category as outcome. To address potential confounding, we excluded psychiatric and reproductive disorders triggered by life events (eg, trauma, infection, or surgery). The range of the diagnoses included in each of these groups is presented subsequently and in eTable 1 in Supplement 1.

For psychiatric diagnoses, we included diagnoses of psychotic (F20-F29), affective (F30-39), anxiety (F40-F48), behavioral syndromes (F50-F59), personality (F60-F69), neurodevelopmental

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

and other early onset psychiatric disorders (F70-F99), as well as the respective diagnoses made using *International Classification of Diseases, Eighth Revision (ICD-9)*, *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-10)*, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fourth Edition) (*DSM-IV*), and *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fifth Edition) (*DSM-5*) criteria (see eTable 1 in Supplement 1). We did not consider psychiatric disorders that arose due to substance use, physical trauma, sexual dysfunction, childbirth, infertility, and use of artificial reproduction techniques.

For reproductive system diagnoses, we included inflammatory diseases of female pelvic organs (N70-N77), noninflammatory disorders of female genital tract (N80-N94), and ovarian dysfunction (E28), as well as respective diagnoses made using *ICD-9* and *ICD-10* criteria (see eTable 1 in Supplement 1). We did not consider reproductive conditions that arose due to distinct environmental causes including sexually transmitted infection, surgery, or medication.

Comparisons

We made 4 comparisons. First, we compared lifetime risk of any psychiatric disorder among women with lifetime diagnosis of any reproductive system disorder; second, lifetime risk of specific psychiatric disorders among women with specific reproductive system disorders; third, lifetime risk of any reproductive system disorder among women with lifetime diagnosis of any psychiatric disorder; fourth, lifetime risk of specific reproductive system disorders among women with specific psychiatric disorders

Study Characteristics

We included observational studies (case-control and population-based cross-sectional) published between January 1980 and December 2019 that were peer-reviewed and published by December 2019. We excluded studies conducted in or after 2020 due to the unknown impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the relationship between mental health and reproductive outcomes.

Information Sources, Search Strategy and Record Management

The search for relevant literature was conducted using Distiller SR software (Evidence Partners) and included the records listed in PubMed. The search words were selected using the list of the relevant *ICD* and *DSM* diagnoses (eTable 1 in Supplement 1) and combined using Boolean logic principles (eTable 2 in Supplement 1).

All references were checked for duplicates, stored, and managed using Distiller SR software. Two authors (N.Z. and A.B., N.Z. and E.L., or N.Z. and M.J.) independently screened each reference over 3 filtering steps: (1) rapid title screening, (2) abstract screening, and (3) selection of articles for the meta-analysis and data extraction. At each step, consensus regarding article inclusion and exclusion was established between both authors.

Data extraction was done using prespecified forms, including information on the study characteristics (authors, outcomes, interventions, and sample size) and results (proportion of exposed cases and controls).

Statistical Analysis

To synthesize the data, we used a random-effects model using the reciprocal of the estimated variance, allowing for combining effect size estimates without individual-level data (metafor package in R, version 4.0.4; R Project for Statistical Computing[23]). From each study, we extracted crude (unadjusted) odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs. Statistical significance was determined at $\alpha = .05$.

We removed all data lines with fewer than 5 cases or controls with or without the outcome to avoid sparse data bias.[24,25] To evaluate study heterogeneity and potential publication bias, we computed I^2, inspected funnel plots, and applied an Egger test. Furthermore, we pooled studies according to sampling characteristics (ie, population-based, clinical, and clinical after exclusion of data lines with <10 cases or controls with or without the outcome).

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

Studies varied largely in diagnosis ascertainment (eg, dichotomous [yes or no] diagnoses vs ordinal scales of mild, moderate, and severe symptom levels). To avoid inflating results by considering all levels of ordinal scales as individual outcomes, symptom levels were summarized as single dichotomous yes or no variables and included in the analyses as single exposure-outcome associations. Some studies analyzed multiple outcomes (eg, depression and bipolar disorder [BD] in women with polycystic ovary syndrome [PCOS]) without stating the rate of comorbidities between them. To avoid inflating the overall pooled estimates by counting the same (comorbid) individual more than once, we calculated lower and upper bounds of the association by including, respectively, only the lowest and highest effect size per primary outcome per study. For example, if 1 study assessed depression (highest effect size), anxiety (middle effect size), and schizophrenia (lowest effect size) in PCOS, we only included depression for the upper bound and only schizophrenia for the lower bound estimates. Data were analyzed from January to December 2022.

Results

Our search identified 1197 records, 50[8,26-64] of which met the inclusion criteria for qualitative and 31[8,26-44,46-54] for quantitative synthesis (**Figure 1**). Thirty[8,26,28-54,65] of the latter ascertained individuals according to reproductive diagnosis status (affected or unaffected) and evaluated rate of psychiatric morbidity within those groups. Only 2 studies[26,27] performed the opposite, that is, ascertained study samples according to psychiatric diagnosis status, 1 of which explored associations in both directions.[26] Study characteristics are displayed in eTable 3 and further details on studies are displayed in eTable 4 in Supplement 1. Overall, we found approximately 2 to 3 times overall increased odds of psychiatric disorders in women with reproductive system disorders. The majority of the identified studies were fairly small (median [IQR] data cell size, 58.5 [27-901]).

Risk of Any Psychiatric Diagnosis Among Patients with Any Reproductive System Diagnosis

Diagnosis of a reproductive system disorder was associated with increased odds of a psychiatric diagnosis (lower bound OR, 2.00; 95% CI, 1.41-2.83; upper bound OR; 2.88; 95% CI, 2.21-3.76; note that the upper bound is likely inflated due to inclusion of multiple estimates per study). Substantial

Figure 1. Flowchart of Included Articles



PRISMA diagram of study selection.

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

heterogeneity between the studies was evidenced by the high I^2 values (I^2 = 94.7 and I^2 = 96.3, respectively). An Egger test showed no evidence of small study bias ($\hat{\beta}_0$ = −31.30; SE, 23.3; t = −1.34; P = .19). However, the funnel plot revealed asymmetry and an abundance of studies lying outside of the expected 95% CI, suggesting potential publication bias (eFigure in Supplement 1).

Following exclusion of data lines with fewer than 10 cases or controls with or without the outcome, we observed a considerable decrease in estimates (lower bound OR, 1.42; 95% CI, 0.94-2.14; upper bound OR, 2.41; 95% CI, 1.78-3.26), with no effect on the measures of heterogeneity.

Risk of Any Reproductive System Diagnosis in Patients With Any Psychiatric Diagnosis

The paucity of literature precluded pooling of estimates. In the 2 included studies, there was no association between having BD and a menstrual cycle of less than 25 days[27]; however, women with autism spectrum condition had statistically significantly increased odds of having PCOS (Rotterdam criteria) compared with women without autism spectrum condition (OR = 2.33; 95% CI, 1.76-3.08).[26]

Pairs of Reproductive System and Psychiatric Disorders

For most diagnosis pairs, we observed positive associations. Evidence regarding the most commonly studied comorbidities, such as PCOS-affective disorders and chronic pelvic pain (CPP)-affective disorders, is presented in detail in a later section and in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**. Evidence regarding other pairs of comorbidities is presented in **Figure 4**.[8,26,28-38]

PCOS and Affective Disorders

Meta-analysis

Our systematic review included 23 articles[8,26,34,39-51,66-72] investigating the overlap between PCOS and the affective disorders: depression, anxiety, and BD. Of these, 16[8,26,34,39-51] were eligible for inclusion in quantitative analyses (Figure 2). A total of 438 128 individuals were included in depression studies, 475 413 in anxiety studies, and 331 262 in BD studies.

In population-based studies, the combined odds of depression, anxiety disorders, and BD in women with PCOS were 1.71 (95% CI, 1.19-2.45; I^2 = 99.3%), 1.69 (95% CI, 1.36-2.10; I^2 = 98.4%), and 2.56 (95% CI, 0.73-8.99; I^2 = 97.0%), respectively, compared with women without PCOS. As evidenced by high I^2 values, heterogeneity between studies was substantial.

In clinical studies, the combined odds of depression and anxiety disorders increased to 2.58 (95% CI, 1.57-4.23; I^2 = 78.7%) and 2.85 (95% CI, 1.98-4.09; I^2 = 0.0%), respectively (no clinical studies on BD were included). After exclusion of data lines in clinical studies with fewer than 10 cases or controls with or without the outcome, only depression studies were available for pooling, for which the combined odds were 1.92 (95% CI, 1.04-3.54; I^2 = 84.0%). Although heterogeneity between depression studies remained substantial, it was not present between anxiety disorder studies.

Effect size estimates for depression and anxiety disorders were substantially higher in clinically ascertained samples. Still, in both population-based and clinical studies, the odds of these disorders were statistically significantly higher in women with PCOS compared with those without PCOS. Odds of BD did not differ according to PCOS status.

Literature Overview: Depression and Anxiety Disorders

Polycystic ovary syndrome affects 5% to 10% of women of reproductive age.[73,74] Some of the putative reasons underlying the increased risk of depression and anxiety in patients with PCOS include physical manifestations (eg, infertility, metabolic syndromes, obesity, acne, hirsutism[8,39,48,73]) and their impact on body satisfaction, adverse effects of medications used to manage PCOS on mood (eg, metformin and oral contraceptives[75]), the role of androgens,[8] and/or shared underlying genetic factors.[8,72]

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

Importantly, ascertainment strategies differed substantially across studies, potentially undermining the strength of reported associations and limiting generalizability of findings. Few studies stated PCOS ascertainment criteria (National Institutes of Health vs Rotterdam); some[34,43,49,51] required that cases have no psychiatric history before the onset of PCOS, and some[45,51,68,69] required controls to have regular menstrual cycles or to have no history of mental health problems.[43,44]

Critically, only in a few studies[42,43,48,51,67] were PCOS cases and controls matched on factors such as body mass index (BMI) infertility, or hirsutism. Among the studies with matched BMI, all[43,48,51] but one[42] still reported higher rates of depression and anxiety in PCOS cases. Similarly, rates of depression in PCOS were higher irrespective of infertility status.[46] In other studies, PCOS cases with affective disorders were more likely to have high BMI and experience menstrual irregularity, infertility, or hirsutism.[40,44,45,51,67,70] However, it remains unclear to what extent these health concerns are independent risk factors for affective disorders vs characteristics of a more severe PCOS

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of Studies on Affective Disorders in Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS)

Study	PCOS positive Cases	PCOS positive Control	PCOS negative Cases	PCOS negative Control	OR (95% CI)
Population-based studies					
Anxiety					
Hung et al,[34] 2014	110	5321	317	21407	1.40 (1.12-1.74)
Harnod et al,[49] 2019	741	6943	1994	28742	1.54 (1.41-1.68)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	4005	20380	27020	216830	1.58 (1.52-1.64)
Cherskov et al,[26] 2018	5608	20655	13825	116892	2.30 (2.22-2.38)
RE Model (Q = 247.33, df = 3, P < .001; I^2 = 98.3%)					1.69 (1.36-2.10)
Depression					
Hung et al,[34] 2014	159	5272	492	21232	1.30 (1.09-1.56)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	2781	21604	18682	225168	1.55 (1.49-1.62)
Cherskov et al,[26] 2018	8700	17563	22247	108470	2.42 (2.35-2.49)
RE Model (Q = 309.63, df = 2, P < .001; I^2 = 99.3%)					1.71 (1.19-2.45)
Bipolar disorder					
Hung et al,[34] 2014	12	5419	49	21675	0.98 (0.52-1.84)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	474	23911	2511	241339	1.91 (1.73-2.10)
Chen et al,[52] 2020	61	7114	28	28669	8.78 (5.61-13.74)
RE Model (Q = 47.66, df = 2, P < .001; I^2 = 97.0%)					2.56 (0.73-8.99)
Clinical studies					
Anxiety					
Alur-Gupta et al,[48] 2019	145	44	127	98	2.54 (1.66-3.90)
Akdağ Cirik et al,[39] 2016	34	67	6	43	3.64 (1.41-9.39)
Asik et al,[40] 2015	25	46	6	44	3.99 (1.49-10.64)
RE Model (Q = 0.97, df = 2, P = .61; I^2 = 0%)					2.85 (1.98-4.09)
Depression					
Davari-Tanha et al,[41] 2014	88	22	96	14	0.58 (0.28-1.21)
Pastore et al,[42] 2011	50	44	49	47	1.09 (0.62-1.93)
Enjezab et al,[50] 2017	40	22	37	24	1.18 (0.57-2.45)
Alur-Gupta et al,[48] 2019	53	136	43	182	1.65 (1.04-2.61)
Akdağ Cirik et al,[39] 2016	47	54	10	39	3.39 (1.53-7.53)
Månsson et al,[45] 2008	33	16	17	32	3.88 (1.68-8.98)
Adali et al,[44] 2008	14	28	5	44	4.40 (1.43-13.56)
Asik et al,[40] 2015	30	41	7	43	4.49 (1.78-11.36)
Jedel et al,[43] 2010	16	14	6	24	4.57 (1.45-14.39)
Tan et al,[51] 2017	92	28	35	65	6.10 (3.38-11.01)
Himelein et al,[46] 2006	11	29	5	95	7.21 (2.31-22.44)
RE Model (Q = 46.74, df = 10, P < .001; I^2 = 78.7%)					2.58 (1.57-4.23)



Forest plots displaying odds of affective disorders in women with PCOS. Studies are grouped by study population type. An odds ratio (OR) of more than 1 indicates increased odds of each respective affective disorder in women with PCOS compared with women without PCOS.

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

phenotype overall. Currently, the emerging consensus suggests that high BMI and infertility may exacerbate, but do not fully explain, affective symptoms in PCOS.

Few studies collected biochemical measures. One study demonstrated an association between the risk of free androgen and risk of affective disorders in PCOS,[45] while other studies[39,42,50] found no evidence for such an association. Additionally, there were no differences in any of the inflammatory markers between cases of PCOS with and without depression.[69]

Addressing the possibility of shared genetics, Cesta et al (1) compared the risk of affective disorders in cases of PCOS and their unaffected siblings,[8] and (2) performed a twin analysis to estimate the genetic and nongenetic underpinnings of the co-occurrence of these disorders.[72] Both studies suggested that the comorbidity is at least in part due to shared genetic factors, as demonstrated by an increased risk of depression in sisters of women with PCOS (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.02-1.21) compared with population controls, and a high fraction (63%) of comorbidity between PCOS and depression attributable to common genetic factors in twins.

Literature Overview: BD

Among the 6 BD studies,[8,34,41,45,47,66] 2 reported no evidence for a significantly increased risk in patients with PCOS[34] (note that 1 study measured "any manic or hypomanic episode" and not necessarily BD[45]). One study[8] assessed diagnosis overlap irrespective of the temporal order, while 2 population-based studies[34,47] assessed only psychiatric outcomes occurring after PCOS diagnosis. Two clinical studies[41,66] measured psychopathology in PCOS cases and controls. Notably, study precision may have been impacted by the relative rarity of BD in the population (lifetime prevalence of approximately 1% in the US[76,77]).

None of the studies differentiated between BD types 1 and 2. Only Chen et al[47] considered the role of medication in mediating this comorbidity, and found a reduced risk of BD in patients with PCOS treated with metformin and hormone therapy. Conversely, BD treatment with valproate has been suggested to induce PCOS or PCOS-related phenotypes (eg, menstrual abnormalities, elevated glucose[78]). BD risk was not significantly elevated in either male or female siblings of patients with PCOS in Cesta et al,[8] providing no evidence of genetic association between PCOS and BD.

Figure 3. Meta-analysis of Studies on Affective Disorders in Women With Chronic Pelvic Pain (CPP)

Study	CPP positive Cases	CPP positive Control	CPP negative Cases	CPP negative Control	OR (95% CI)
Anxiety					
Osório et al,[32] 2016	27	23	26	24	1.08 (0.49-2.38)
Hodgkiss et al,[54] 1994	13	16	11	22	1.62 (0.58-4.55)
Siqueira-Campos et al,[52] 2019	66	34	49	51	2.02 (1.14-3.57)
Romão et al,[53] 2009	38	14	20	34	4.61 (2.02-10.53)
Walker et al,[29] 1995	18	32	5	45	5.06 (1.70-15.05)
RE Model (Q = 8.88, df = 4, P = .06; I^2 = 56.4%)					2.33 (1.33-4.08)
Depression					
Romão et al,[53] 2009	21	31	16	38	1.61 (0.72-3.60)
Siqueira-Campos et al,[52] 2019	63	37	38	62	2.78 (1.57-4.93)
Walker et al,[29] 1995	32	18	11	39	6.30 (2.60-15.25)
Lorençatto et al,[78] 2006	43	7	19	31	10.02 (3.75-26.76)
RE Model (Q = 10.32, df = 3, P = .02; I^2 = 73.5%)					3.91 (1.81-8.46)



Forest plots displaying odds of affective disorders in women with CPP. An odds ratio (OR) of more than 1 indicates increased odds of each respective affective disorder in women with CPP compared with women without CPP.

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

Figure 4. Summary of Associations Between Other Reproductive System Disorders and Psychiatric Disorders

Study	Reproductive positive		Reproductive negative		Exposure (reproductive diagnosis)-outcome (psychiatric diagnosis) pair	OR (95% CI)
	Psychiatric positive	Psychiatric negative	Psychiatric positive	Psychiatric negative		
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	540	23845	4406	239444	PCOS: ADHD	1.23 (1.12-1.35)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	298	24087	2910	240940	PCOS: alcoholism	1.02 (0.91-1.15)
Cherskov et al,[26] 2018	45	26218	115	130602	PCOS: ASD	1.95 (1.38-2.75)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	191	24194	919	242931	PCOS: ASD	2.09 (1.78-2.44)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	598	23787	4223	239627	PCOS: eating disorders, any	1.43 (1.31-1.56)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	794	23591	4622	239228	PCOS: personality disorders, any	1.74 (1.61-1.88)
Cherskov et al,[26] 2018	233	26030	696	130021	PCOS: schizophrenia	1.67 (1.44-1.94)
Hung et al,[34] 2014	11	5420	53	21671	PCOS: schizophrenia	0.83 (0.43-1.59)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	261	24124	1608	242242	PCOS: schizophrenia spectrum disorder	1.63 (1.43-1.86)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	818	23567	5860	237990	PCOS: suicide, attempted	1.41 (1.31-1.52)
Cesta et al,[8] 2016	14	24371	1174	242676	PCOS: suicide, completed	0.12 (0.07-0.20)
Walker et al,[29] 1995	32	18	6	44	CPP: abridged somatization	13.04 (4.65-36.52)
Osório et al,[32] 2016	9	41	5	45	CPP: eating disorders total	1.98 (0.61-6.38)
Osório et al,[32] 2016	7	43	5	45	CPP: somatization	1.47 (0.43-4.97)
Osório et al,[32] 2016	10	40	12	38	CPP: substance abuse/dependence	0.79 (0.31-2.05)
Khandker et al,[38] 2011	12	228	5	235	Vulvodynia: anxiety	2.47 (0.86-7.13)
Iglesias-Rios et al,[33] 2015	46	175	126	839	Vulvodynia: depression	1.75 (1.20-2.55)
Khandker et al,[38] 2011	40	200	15	225	Vulvodynia: depression	3.00 (1.61-5.59)
Aikens et al,[28] 2003	12	20	11	21	Vulvodynia: depression	1.15 (0.41-3.18)
Ambresin et al,[36] 2012	61	353	596	2330	Severe dysmenorrhea: disordered eating (bulimic tendency)	0.68 (0.51-0.90)
Ambresin et al,[36] 2012	104	310	435	2491	Severe dysmenorrhea: disordered eating (restrictive tendency)	1.92 (1.50-2.45)
Ambresin et al,[36] 2012	23	391	90	2836	Severe dysmenorrhea: suicide attempt	1.85 (1.16-2.97)
Gagua et al,[35] 2013	225	51	57	91	Primary dysmenorrhea: anxiety	7.04 (4.49-11.04)
Gagua et al,[35] 2013	49	227	9	139	Primary dysmenorrhea: depression	3.33 (1.59-7.00)
Kayhan et al,[30] 2016	50	46	11	83	Abnormal uterine bleeding: anxiety	8.20 (3.89-17.29)
Kayhan et al,[30] 2016	18	78	5	89	Abnormal uterine bleeding: depression	4.11 (1.46-11.58)
De Graaff et al,[31] 2016	37	46	7	33	Endometriosis: anxiety	3.79 (1.51-9.55)
Watts et al,[37] 2010	60	184	17	84	Vaginismus: anxiety	1.61 (0.89-2.93)
Iglesias-Rios et al,[33] 2015	76	533	126	839	Vulvar symptoms: depression	0.95 (0.70-1.29)



Forest plots displaying odds of psychiatric disorders in women with reproductive system disorders. An odds ratio (OR) of more than 1 indicates increased odds of each respective psychiatric disorder in women with reproductive system disorders compared with women without reproductive system disorders. ADHD indicates attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CPP, chronic pelvic pain; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome.

CPP and Affective Disorders

Meta-analysis

We included 8 articles exploring CPP and affective disorders in our systematic review.[29,32,52-54,65,79,80] Of these, 6 were included in the quantitative analysis (Figure 3) (the first of the excluded studies[80] was a nested sample of another,[29] and the second was too small). A total of 506 individuals were included in depression studies and 568 individuals in anxiety studies.

Among women with CPP, the combined odds of depression and anxiety-related disorders were 3.91 (95% CI, 1.81-8.46; I^2 = 73.5%) and 2.33 (95% CI, 1.33-4.08; I^2 = 56.4%), respectively. Heterogeneity between studies was moderate, and this was not associated with data lines with fewer than 10 cases or controls with or without the outcome. After exclusion of those studies, the pooled odds ratios for depression and anxiety were 2.97 (95% CI, 1.47-6.01; I^2 = 62.8%) and 2.02 (95% CI, 1.13-3.62; I^2 = 54.9%), respectively.

Effect size estimates for depression and anxiety disorders were higher before removal of data lines with fewer than 10 cases or controls with or without the outcome. Still, in both instances, the odds of these disorders were statistically significantly higher in women with CPP compared with those without CPP.

Literature Overview

CPP affects 1 in 7 women in the US.[81] All studies found statistically significantly higher levels of depression in those with CPP compared with controls.[29,32,52-54,65,79,80] Although anxiety was also more common in CPP groups,[29,52,53,79,80] this difference was not always statistically significant.[32,54] Of note, the causes of CPP vary considerably. Laparoscopic findings possibly underlying pelvic pain generally indicate endometriosis, pelvic adhesions affecting the genital tract and bowel, or an absence of findings.[82,83] The cause of the pain, however, does not appear to be associated with affective disorders. In 1 study,[54] rates of depression did not differ according to presence or absence of laparoscopic findings. Similarly, in 100 endometriosis cases, those with accompanying CPP were significantly more depressed than those without pain,[65] suggesting that pain irrespective of underlying pathology is associated with depression. In another study,[52] increased rates of depression and anxiety in women with CPP were not associated with intensity or duration of pain, suggesting that pain irrespective of magnitude is associated with psychiatric morbidity.

Associations between CPP and affective disorders were investigated in the context of past sexual trauma. In a pilot study, CPP and lifetime depression were associated only among victims of childhood sexual abuse, and 12 of 16 CPP cases with lifetime depression had their first episode of major depression before onset of CPP.[80] In a follow-up study, the rate of childhood sexual trauma was comparable with that of the general population in controls (2 of 50) but substantial in the CPP group (12 of 50).[29] However, this association was not present in another similar study.[79] In a pooled group of CPP cases and controls, CPP, physical abuse, and sexual abuse were each independently associated with depression and anxiety; however, rate of sexual abuse did not differ between cases and controls.[52] Another study found higher levels of early emotional traumas (including sexual events) in CPP cases compared with controls, but this difference was not statistically significant.[32]

Other Pairs of Diagnoses

We identified 13 articles[8,26,28,30-38,84] exploring associations outside of PCOS, CPP, and affective disorders (Figure 4). The lack of overlap in exposure-outcome pairs precluded pooling estimates; however, nearly all diagnosis pairs were positively associated.

Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis explored evidence for the overlap between the reproductive system and psychiatric disorders. We found approximately 2 to 3 times overall increased odds of psychiatric disorders in women with reproductive system disorders. Additionally,

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

in disorder pairs well-represented in the literature, odds of affective disorders in women with PCOS and CPP were approximately 1.7 to nearly 4 times those of women without those disorders.

Notably, the causes of both PCOS and CPP are diverse, and likely so are the mechanisms underlying their associations with psychiatric outcomes. PCOS often involves symptoms such as infertility, hormonal imbalance, hirsutism, and medication use, which can themselves spur adverse mental health outcomes. Nevertheless, as highlighted by our literature search, these factors do not seem to fully explain the observed association with affective disorders, leaving a possibility that genetic factors may also be associated with disease overlap. In support of this notion, in an epidemiologic study on all live births from 1996 to 2014 in Finland, maternal PCOS was associated with increased risk of a wide variety of psychiatric disorders in offspring, including mood, anxiety and autism spectrum disorders.[85] Our finding on the positive association between CPP and depressive symptoms is well aligned with the evidence suggesting all forms of chronic pain are associated with depression,[86] although we cannot at this point discern whether the CPP-depression association is distinct.

The strengths of the current study include a preregistered protocol, a large volume of screened studies, independent validation of included studies by 2 reviewers, and the collaboration of an interdisciplinary team of epidemiologists, psychiatrists, and maternal-fetal medicine specialists. Additionally, analyses were performed with careful avoidance of sample overlap so as not to inflate results.

Limitations

This study had limitations. The narrow scope of research outside of PCOS or CPP and affective disorders, the disproportionately smaller volume of literature on reproductive outcomes among women with psychiatric disorders compared with vice versa, and shortage of genetic studies precluded exploring these comorbidities as causally associated disease classes. Lack of extensive demographic and clinical data further compromised investigations into the co-occurrence of these disorders.

The overrepresentation of small, clinically ascertained samples (median [IQR] data cell size, 58.5 [27-901]) contributed to a high degree of study heterogeneity. This was not mitigated through excluding studies with data cell sizes of fewer than 10, suggesting that, aside from the heterogeneity in mechanisms underlying the diverse set of comorbidities, study designs and analytical decisions likely compromised the evidence. Furthermore, methodological issues included inconsistent specification of past vs concurrent disorders, lack of professional determinations of diagnoses, and rare consideration of temporal relationships between disease onsets.

Conclusions

In this systematic review and meta-analysis study on associations between psychiatric and reproductive system disorders, we identified increased odds of psychiatric disorders in women with reproductive system disorders. Further investigations into these associations are needed to understand whether these disorders are causally associated. To improve the quality of the evidence, with implications for clinical care, future studies should place greater emphasis on the collection of accurate mental health data in reproductive health settings, and deeper inquiry into somatic concerns, reproductive disorders, and menstrual status in psychiatric settings.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: March 4, 2023.

Published: April 18, 2023. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.8685

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2023 Zaks N et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Magdalena Janecka, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1399 Park Ave, New York, NY 10029 (magdalena.janecka@mssm.edu).

Author Affiliations: Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Zaks, Rommel, Reichenberg, Grice, Bergink, Mahjani, Janecka); Rutgers University, Graduate School of Applied and Professional Psychology, Piscataway, New Jersey (Batuure); Cornell University, Undergraduate Studies, Ithaca, New York (Lin); Seaver Autism Center for Research and Treatment, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Reichenberg, Grice, Mahjani, Janecka); Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Rommel, Reichenberg); Division of Tics, OCD and Related Disorders, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Grice, Mahjani); The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Reichenberg, Grice, Janecka); Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Reichenberg, Grice, Janecka); Department of Psychiatry, Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (Bergink); Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Science, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Fox); Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (Mahjani); Department of Genetic and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York (Janecka).

Author Contributions: Dr Janecka had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Zaks, Fox, Janecka.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Zaks, Batuure, Lin, Rommel, Reichenberg, Grice, Bergink, Mahjani, Janecka.

Drafting of the manuscript: Zaks, Lin, Reichenberg, Fox, Janecka.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Zaks, Batuure, Rommel, Grice, Bergink, Mahjani, Janecka.

Statistical analysis: Zaks, Lin, Mahjani, Janecka.

Obtained funding: Janecka.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Batuure, Reichenberg, Grice, Janecka.

Supervision: Grice, Bergink, Fox, Janecka.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: We would like to acknowledge the generous support of the Seaver Foundation and a grant from the National Institute of Mental Health (Drs Janecka and Reichenberg [grant number MH124817]).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Sharing Statement: See Supplement 2.

REFERENCES

1. Fuldeore MJ, Soliman AM. Prevalence and symptomatic burden of diagnosed endometriosis in the United States: national estimates from a cross-sectional survey of 59,411 women. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2017;82(5):453-461. doi:10.1159/000452660

2. Yildiz BO, Bozdag G, Yapici Z, Esinler I, Yarali H. Prevalence, phenotype and cardiometabolic risk of polycystic ovary syndrome under different diagnostic criteria. *Hum Reprod*. 2012;27(10):3067-3073. doi:10.1093/humrep/des232

3. Riecher-Rössler A. Sex and gender differences in mental disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(1):8-9. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30348-0

4. Morrell MJ. Reproductive and metabolic disorders in women with epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2003;44(suppl 4):11-20. doi:10.1046/j.1528-1157.44.s4.2.x

5. Tabassum F, Jyoti C, Sinha HH, Dhar K, Akhtar MS. Impact of polycystic ovary syndrome on quality of life of women in correlation to age, basal metabolic index, education and marriage. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(3):e0247486. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0247486

6. Palomba S, Daolio J, Romeo S, Battaglia FA, Marci R, La Sala GB. Lifestyle and fertility: the influence of stress and quality of life on female fertility. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2018;16(1):113. doi:10.1186/s12958-018-0434-y

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

7. Baker JH, Thornton LM, Bulik CM, Kendler KS, Lichtenstein P. Shared genetic effects between age at menarche and disordered eating. *J Adolesc Health*. 2012;51(5):491-496. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.02.013

8. Cesta CE, Måna M, Palm C, Lichtenstein P, Iliadou AN, Landén M. Polycystic ovary syndrome and psychiatric disorders: co-morbidity and heritability in a nationwide Swedish cohort. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2016;73:196-203. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.08.005

9. Riecher-Rössler A. Sex and gender differences in schizophrenic psychoses. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2016;33:S46-S46. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.905

10. Zhang B, Han M, Tan S, et al. Gender differences measured by the MATRICS consensus cognitive battery in chronic schizophrenia patients. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):11821. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-12027-w

11. Loranger AW. Sex difference in age at onset of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1984;41(2):157-161. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1984.01790130053007

12. Baio J, Wiggins L, Christensen DL, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years - autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2014. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2018;67(6):1-23. doi:10.15585/mmwr.ss6706a1

13. Lejtzén N, Sundquist J, Sundquist K, Li X. Depression and anxiety in Swedish primary health care: prevalence, incidence, and risk factors. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2014;264(3):235-245. doi:10.1007/s00406-013-0422-3

14. Breslau J, Gilman SE, Stein BD, Ruder T, Gmelin T, Miller E. Sex differences in recent first-onset depression in an epidemiological sample of adolescents. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2017;7(5):e1139. doi:10.1038/tp.2017.105

15. Payne JL, Roy PS, Murphy-Eberenz K, et al. Reproductive cycle-associated mood symptoms in women with major depression and bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2007;99(1-3):221-229. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2006.08.013

16. Pinkerton JV, Guico-Pabia CJ, Taylor HS. Menstrual cycle-related exacerbation of disease. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;202(3):221-231. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2009.07.061

17. Bergink V, Lambregtse-van den Berg MP, Koorengevel KM, Kupka R, Kushner SA. First-onset psychosis occurring in the postpartum period: a prospective cohort study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72(11):1531-1537. doi:10.4088/JCP.10m06648

18. Bergink V, Laursen TM, Johannsen BM, Kushner SA, Meltzer-Brody S, Munk-Olsen T. Pre-eclampsia and first-onset postpartum psychiatric episodes: a Danish population-based cohort study. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45(16):3481-3489. doi:10.1017/S0033291715001385

19. Power RA, Kyaga S, Uher R, et al. Fecundity of patients with schizophrenia, autism, bipolar disorder, depression, anorexia nervosa, or substance abuse vs their unaffected siblings. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013;70(1):22-30. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.268

20. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg*. 2010;8(5):336-341. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007

21. Rees M. The age of menarche. *ORGYN*. 1995;(4):2-4.

22. Nelson HD. Menopause. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9614):760-770. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60346-3

23. Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor. *J Stat Softw*. 2010;36:1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03

24. Greenland S, Mansournia MA, Altman DG. Sparse data bias: a problem hiding in plain sight. *BMJ*. 2016;352:i1981. doi:10.1136/bmj.i1981

25. Richardson DB, Cole SR, Ross RK, Poole C, Chu H, Keil AP. Meta-analysis and sparse-data bias. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2021;190(2):336-340. doi:10.1093/aje/kwaa205

26. Cherskov A, Pohl A, Allison C, Zhang H, Payne RA, Baron-Cohen S. Polycystic ovary syndrome and autism: a test of the prenatal sex steroid theory. *Transl Psychiatry* 2018;8(1):136. doi:10.1038/s41398-018-0186-7

27. Reynolds-May MF, Kenna HA, Marsh W, et al. Evaluation of reproductive function in women treated for bipolar disorder compared to healthy controls. *Bipolar Disord*. 2014;16(1):37-47. doi:10.1111/bdi.12149

28. Aikens JE, Reed BD, Gorenflo DW, Haefner HK. Depressive symptoms among women with vulvar dysesthesia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;189(2):462-466. doi:10.1067/S0002-9378(03)00521-0

29. Walker EA, Katon WJ, Hansom J, et al. Psychiatric diagnoses and sexual victimization in women with chronic pelvic pain. *Psychosomatics*. 1995;36(6):531-540. doi:10.1016/S0033-3182(95)71608-5

30. Kayhan F, Alptekin H, Kayhan A. Mood and anxiety disorders in patients with abnormal uterine bleeding. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2016;199:192-197. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.02.033

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

31. De Graaff AA, Van Lankveld J, Smits LJ, Van Beek JJ, Dunselman GAJ. Dyspareunia and depressive symptoms are associated with impaired sexual functioning in women with endometriosis, whereas sexual functioning in their male partners is not affected. *Hum Reprod*. 2016;31(11):2577-2586. doi:10.1093/humrep/dew215

32. Osório FL, Carvalho ACF, Donadon MF, Moreno AL, Polli-Neto O. Chronic pelvic pain, psychiatric disorders and early emotional traumas: results of a cross sectional case-control study. *World J Psychiatry*. 2016;6(3):339-344. doi:10.5498/wjp.v6.i3.339

33. Iglesias-Rios L, Harlow SD, Reed BD. Depression and posttraumatic stress disorder among women with vulvodynia: evidence from the population-based woman to woman health study. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2015;24(7):557-562. doi:10.1089/jwh.2014.5001

34. Hung JH, Hu LY, Tsai SJ, et al. Risk of psychiatric disorders following polycystic ovary syndrome: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):e97041. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097041

35. Gagua T, Tkeshelashvili B, Gagua D, McHedlishvili N. Assessment of anxiety and depression in adolescents with primary dysmenorrhea: a case-control study. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2013;26(6):350-354. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2013.06.018

36. Ambresin A-E, Belanger RE, Chamay C, Berchtold A, Narring F. Body dissatisfaction on top of depressive mood among adolescents with severe dysmenorrhea. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2012;25(1):19-22. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2011.06.014

37. Watts G, Nettle D. The role of anxiety in vaginismus: a case-control study. *J Sex Med*. 2010;7(1 Pt 1):143-148. doi:10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01365.x

38. Khandker M, Brady SS, Vitonis AF, Maclehose RF, Stewart EG, Harlow BL. The influence of depression and anxiety on risk of adult onset vulvodynia. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2011;20(10):1445-1451. doi:10.1089/jwh.2010.2661

39. Akdağ Cirik D, Dilbaz B, Aksakal S, et al. Do anxiety and depression statuses differ in differentpolycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes? *Turk J Med Sci*. 2016;46(6):1846-1853. doi:10.3906/sag-1511-112

40. Asik M, Altinbas K, Eroglu M, et al. Evaluation of affective temperament and anxiety-depression levels of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;185:214-218. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.06.043

41. Davari-Tanha F, Hosseini Rashidi B, Ghajarzadeh M, Noorbala AA. Bipolar disorder in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCO). *Acta Med Iran*. 2014;52(1):46-48.

42. Pastore LM, Patrie JT, Morris WL, Dalal P, Bray MJ. Depression symptoms and body dissatisfaction association among polycystic ovary syndrome women. *J Psychosom Res*. 2011;71(4):270-276. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2011.02.005

43. Jedel E, Waern M, Gustafson D, et al. Anxiety and depression symptoms in women with polycystic ovary syndrome compared with controls matched for body mass index. *Hum Reprod*. 2010;25(2):450-456. doi:10.1093/humrep/dep384

44. Adali E, Yildizhan R, Kurdoglu M, et al. The relationship between clinico-biochemical characteristics and psychiatric distress in young women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Int Med Res*. 2008;36(6):1188-1196. doi:10.1177/147323000803600604

45. Månsson M, Holte J, Landin-Wilhelmsen K, Dahlgren E, Johansson A, Landén M. Women with polycystic ovary syndrome are often depressed or anxious–a case control study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2008;33(8):1132-1138. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.06.003

46. Himelein MJ, Thatcher SS. Depression and body image among women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Health Psychol*. 2006;11(4):613-625. doi:10.1177/1359105306065021

47. Chen SF, Yang YC, Hsu CY, Shen YC. Risk of bipolar disorder in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;263:458-462. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2019.12.007

48. Alur-Gupta S, Chemerinski A, Liu C, et al. Body-image distress is increased in women with polycystic ovary syndrome and mediates depression and anxiety. *Fertil Steril*. 2019;112(5):930-938.e1. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.06.018

49. Harnod T, Chen W, Wang JH, Lin SZ, Ding DC. Association between depression risk and polycystic ovarian syndrome in young women: a retrospective nationwide population-based cohort study (1998-2013). *Hum Reprod*. 2019;34(9):1830-1837. doi:10.1093/humrep/dez081

50. Enjezab B, Eftekhar M, Ghadiri-Anari A. Association between severity of depression and clinico-biochemical markers of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Electron Physician*. 2017;9(11):5820-5825. doi:10.19082/5820

51. Tan J, Wang QY, Feng GM, Li XY, Huang W. Increased risk of psychiatric disorders in women with polycystic ovary syndrome in Southwest China. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2017;130(3):262-266. doi:10.4103/0366-6999.198916

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

52. Siqueira-Campos VME, Da Luz RA, de Deus JM, Martinez EZ, Conde DM. Anxiety and depression in women with and without chronic pelvic pain: prevalence and associated factors. *J Pain Res*. 2019;12:1223-1233. doi:10.2147/JPR.S195317

53. Romão APMS, Gorayeb R, Romão GS, et al. High levels of anxiety and depression have a negative effect on quality of life of women with chronic pelvic pain. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2009;63(5):707-711. doi:10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02034.x

54. Hodgkiss AD, Sufraz R, Watson JP. Psychiatric morbidity and illness behaviour in women with chronic pelvic pain. *J Psychosom Res*. 1994;38(1):3-9. doi:10.1016/0022-3999(94)90003-5

55. Mazi B, Kaddour O, Al-Badr A. Depression symptoms in women with pelvic floor dysfunction: a case-control study. *Int J Womens Health*. 2019;11:143-148. doi:10.2147/IJWH.S187417

56. Meng L, Li J, Cheng Y, Wei T, Du Y, Peng S. Dysmenorrhea increased the risk of postpartum depression in Chinese Han parturients. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9:16579. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-53059-8

57. Shen C-C, Yang AC, Hung J-H, Hu L-Y, Chiang Y-Y, Tsai S-J. Risk of psychiatric disorders following pelvic inflammatory disease: a nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol*. 2016;37(1):6-11. doi:10.3109/0167482X.2015.1124852

58. Hergüner S, Harmanci H, Toy H. Attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder symptoms in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Int J Psych Med*. 2015;50(3):317-325. doi:10.1177/0091217415610311

59. Coleman R, Morison L, Paine K, Powell R, Walraven G. Women's reproductive health and depression: a community survey in the Gambia, West Africa. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2006;41:720-727. doi:10.1007/s00127-006-0085-8

60. Jahanfar S, Maleki H, Mosavi AR. Subclinical eating disorder, polycystic ovary syndrome—is there any connection between these two conditions through leptin: a twin study. *Med J Malaysia*. 2005;60(4):441-446.

61. Nylanderlundqvist E, Bergdahl J. Vulvar vestibulitis: evidence of depression and state anxiety in patients and partners. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2003;83(5):369-373. doi:10.1080/00015550310003764

62. Giles DE, Berga SL. Cognitive and psychiatric correlates of functional hypothalamic amenorrhea: a controlled comparison. *Fertil Steril*. 1993;60(3):486-492.

63. Flint N, Stewart RB. Amenorrhea in psychiatric inpatients. *Arch Gen Psych*. 1983;40(5):589. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1983.01790050115021

64. Fava GA, Fava M, Kellner R, Serafini E, Mastrogiacomo I. Depression hostility and anxiety in hyperprolactinemic amenorrhea. *Psychother Psychosom*. 1981;36(2):122-128. doi:10.1159/000287535

65. Lorençatto C, Petta CA, Navarro MJ, Bahamondes L, Matos A. Depression in women with endometriosis with and without chronic pelvic pain. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2006;85(1):88-92. doi:10.1080/00016340500456118

66. Hussain A, Chandel RK, Ganie MA, et al. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in patients with a diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome in kashmir. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2015;37(1):66-70. doi:10.4103/0253-7176.150822

67. Cinar N, Kizilarslanoglu MC, Harmanci A, et al. Depression, anxiety and cardiometabolic risk in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod*. 2011;26(12):3339-3345. doi:10.1093/humrep/der338

68. Laggari V, Diareme S, Christogiorgos S, et al. Anxiety and depression in adolescents with polycystic ovary syndrome and Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol*. 2009;30(2):83-88. doi:10.1080/01674820802546204

69. Benson S, Janssen OE, Hahn S, et al. Obesity, depression, and chronic low-grade inflammation in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2008;22(2):177-184. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2007.07.003

70. Hollinrake E, Abreu A, Maifeld M, Van Voorhis BJ, Dokras A. Increased risk of depressive disorders in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2007;87(6):1369-1376. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2006.11.039

71. Çoban ÖG, Tulacı ÖD, Adanır AS, Önder A. Psychiatric disorders, self-esteem, and quality of life in adolescents with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2019;32(6):600-604. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2019.07.008

72. Cesta CE, Kuja-Halkola R, Lehto K, Iliadou AN, Landén M. Polycystic ovary syndrome, personality, and depression: a twin study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2017;85:63-68. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.08.007

73. Norman RJ, Dewailly D, Legro RS, Hickey TE. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Lancet*. 2007;370(9588):685-697. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61345-2

74. Azziz R, Woods KS, Reyna R, Key TJ, Knochenhauer ES, Yildiz BO. The prevalence and features of the polycystic ovary syndrome in an unselected population. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;89(6):2745-2749. doi:10.1210/jc.2003-032046

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023

75. Barry JA, Kuczmierczyk AR, Hardiman PJ. Anxiety and depression in polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod*. 2011;26(9):2442-2451. doi:10.1093/humrep/der197

76. Moreira ALR, Van Meter A, Genzlinger J, Youngstrom EA. Review and meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies of adult bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2017;78(9):e1259-e1269. doi:10.4088/JCP.16r11165

77. Merikangas KR, Akiskal HS, Angst J, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of bipolar spectrum disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007;64(5):543-552. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.64.5.543

78. Isojärvi JI, Laatikainen TJ, Pakarinen AJ, Juntunen KT, Myllylä VV. Polycystic ovaries and hyperandrogenism in women taking valproate for epilepsy. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329(19):1383-1388. doi:10.1056/NEJM199311043291904

79. Slocumb JC, Kellner R, Rosenfeld RC, Pathak D. Anxiety and depression in patients with the abdominal pelvic pain syndrome. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 1989;11(1):48-53. doi:10.1016/0163-8343(89)90025-X

80. Harrop-Griffiths J, Katon W, Walker E, Holm L, Russo J, Hickok L. The association between chronic pelvic pain, psychiatric diagnoses, and childhood sexual abuse. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1988;71(4):589-594.

81. Dydyk AM, Gupta N. *Chronic pelvic pain*. StatPearls Publishing; 2021.

82. Laborda E, Clarke A, Carpenter T. The threshold for laparoscopy for pelvic pain. *Obstet Gynaecol*. 2010;12(1):7-12. doi:10.1576/toag.12.1.007.27552

83. Neis KJ, Neis F. Chronic pelvic pain: cause, diagnosis and therapy from a gynaecologist's and an endoscopist's point of view. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2009;25(11):757-761. doi:10.3109/09513590903230366

84. Chen XK, Wen SW, Krewski D, Fleming N, Yang Q, Walker MC. Paternal age and adverse birth outcomes: teenager or 40+, who is at risk? *Hum Reprod*. 2008;23(6):1290-1296. doi:10.1093/humrep/dem403

85. Chen X, Kong L, Piltonen TT, Gissler M, Lavebratt C. Association of polycystic ovary syndrome or anovulatory infertility with offspring psychiatric and mild neurodevelopmental disorders: a Finnish population-based cohort study. *Hum Reprod*. 2020;35(10):2336-2347. doi:10.1093/humrep/deaa192

86. Rayner L, Hotopf M, Petkova H, Matcham F, Simpson A, McCracken LM. Depression in patients with chronic pain attending a specialised pain treatment centre: prevalence and impact on health care costs. *Pain*. 2016;157(7):1472-1479. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000542

SUPPLEMENT 1.

eTable 1. Diagnoses Considered in the Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
eTable 2. Boolean Logic Used to Identify Articles
eTable 3. Characteristics of All Included Studies
eFigure. Funnel Plot of Included Studies on Psychiatric Outcome According to Reproductive System Disorder Status
eTable 4. Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment for Case-Control Studies

SUPPLEMENT 2.

Data Sharing Statement

Downloaded From: https://jamanetwork.com/ on 06/01/2023